

## Evaluation of novel data-driven metrics of amyloid β deposition for longitudinal PET studies

Ariane Bollack, Pawel J Markiewicz, Alle Meije Wink, Lloyd Prosser, Johan Lilja, Pierrick Bourgeat, Jonathan M Schott, William Coath, Lyduine E Collij, Hugh G Pemberton, Gill Farrar, Frederik Barkhof, David M Cash; on behalf on the AMYPAD consortium

Purpose: Positron emission tomography (PET) provides in vivo quantification of amyloid- $\beta$  (A $\beta$ ) pathology. Established methods for assessing A $\beta$  burden can be affected by physiological and technical factors. Novel, data-driven metrics have been developed to account for these sources of variability. We aimed to evaluate the performance of four data-driven amyloid PET metrics against conventional techniques, using a common set of criteria.

Methods: Three cohorts were used for evaluation: Insight 46 (N=464, [18F]florbetapir), AIBL (N=277, [18F]flutemetamol), and an independent test-retest data (N=10, [18F]flutemetamol). Established metrics of amyloid tracer uptake included the Centiloid (CL) and where dynamic data was available, the non-displaceable binding potential (BPND). The four data driven metrics computed were the amyloid load (A $\beta$  load), the A $\beta$  PET pathology accumulation index (A $\beta$  index), the Centiloid derived from non-negative matrix factorisation (CLNMF), and the amyloid pattern similarity score (AMPSS). These metrics were evaluated using reliability and repeatability in test-retest data, associations with BPND and CL, and sample size estimates to detect a 25% slowing in A $\beta$  accumulation.

Results: All metrics showed good reliability. A $\beta$  load, A $\beta$  index and CLNMF were strong associated with the BPND. The associations with CL suggests that cross-sectional measures of CLNMF, A $\beta$  index and A $\beta$  load are robust across studies. Sample size estimates for secondary prevention trial scenarios were the lowest for CLNMF and A $\beta$  load compared to the CL.

Conclusion: Among the novel data-driven metrics evaluated, the A $\beta$  load, the A $\beta$  index and the CLNMF can provide comparable performance to more established quantification methods of A $\beta$  PET tracer uptake. The CLNMF and A $\beta$  load could offer a more precise alternative to CL, although further studies in larger cohorts should be conducted.

Published: 17 August 2023

NeuroImage

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2023.120313

